Evolution of Conditional Dispersal: Fast vs Slow Diffusers

King-Yeung Lam (Adrian)

Mathematical Biosciences Institute, Ohio State University

Dec 14-16, 2012

Everything Disperses to Miami Workshop in Honor of Chris Cosner's 60th Birthday

Joint work with Yuan Lou (MBI, Ohio State)

- Biological evolution is driven by selection and mutation.
- Ecological feedback loops (environmental conditions co-evolve) induces a wide spectrum of possible dynamics.

Basic Questions in the Evolution of Dispersal:

- How do species adopt their dispersal strategies?
- How will their dispersal behaviors evolve?
- Is there an "optimal" dispersal strategy associated with a given habitat condition?

Unconditional Dispersal: Movement of organism that is independent of local environmental conditions.

- Diffusion
- Uniform stream flow

Conditional Dispersal: Movement that depends on local environmental conditions

- Movement biased toward favorable environments
- Cross-diffusion
- Fitness-dependent dispersal

The following situation is considered in [Hastings (1983)]. Suppose u is the density of resident species obeying

$$u_t = \mu \Delta u + uF(x, u)$$
 and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$

where F is decreasing in u. At equilibrium, u defines the environment. Introducing a rare mutant v,

$$v_t = \nu \Delta v + vF(x, u + v)$$
 and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.$

Theorem (Hastings (1983))

The mutant v can invade when rare if and only if $\nu < \mu$.

A strategy $\hat{\mu}$ is *Evolutionarily Stable* if, once the population adopting $\hat{\mu}$ is established, they cannot be invaded successfully by any small amount of mutant with slightly different strategies. [Maynard Smith and Price (1973)]

• In other words, Hastings has shown that there does not exist any ESS for the unconditional diffusion model.

Unconditional Dispersal

• What happens after the rare mutant v invades successfully? The following more explicit model is considered by [Dockery et. al. (1998)].

$$\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} u_t = \mu \Delta u + u(m-u-v) & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ v_t = \nu \Delta v + v(m-u-v) & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x,0) = v_0(x) & \text{ in } \Omega. \end{array}$$

The slower diffusing mutant v actually displaces the resident u.

Theorem (Dockery et. al. (1998))

If $\nu < \mu$, then for any non-trivial initial condition, ν always drives u to extinction.

Slower Diffuser Prevails



• Slower diffusion rate is "selected" by the environment.

A Conditional Dispersal Model

The following model is considered in [Cantrell, Cosner, Lou (06',07')][Chen-Lou(08')][Chen-Hambrock-Lou(08')][Hambrock-Lou(09')]

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \mu \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - \eta u \nabla m) + u(m - u - v) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ v_t = \mu \nabla \cdot (\nabla v - \xi v \nabla m) + v(m - u - v) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - \eta u \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - \xi u \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

- *u*, *v* are two phenotypes that disperse with a combination of diffusion and directed movement.
- η, ξ denote rates of directed movement (1-d trait).
- u, v confined to the habitat Ω .
- What if the strategies of u and v are very similar? i.e. $\eta \sim \xi$.

Theorem (Hambrock-Lou(09'))

Let
$$\Omega=(0,1)$$
 and $m,m_x>0$ in $[0,1].$

(i) If
$$0 \le \eta \le \frac{1}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}$$
, the for $\xi \searrow \eta$, $(0, \tilde{v})$ is globally asymptotically stable.

(ii) If $\eta \ge \frac{1}{\min_{\bar{\Omega}} m}$, then for $\xi \nearrow \eta$, $(0, \tilde{v})$ is globally asymptotically stable.

• $(0, \tilde{v})$ is the equilibrium state when u is extinct.

Conditional Dispersal



- "Selection Gradient" reverses.
- Intermediate advection rate is favored.
- No ESS outside of $\left[\frac{1}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}, \frac{1}{\min_{\bar{\Omega}} m}\right]$.

ESS in Spatial Models

King-Yeung Lam (Adrian)

The Invasion Exponent $\lambda = \lambda(\eta, \xi)$

Let $(\tilde{u}, 0)$ be a semi-trivial steady state, where $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}(\eta)$ is the unique positive solution of

 $\mu \nabla \cdot (\nabla \tilde{u} - \eta \tilde{u} \nabla m) + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = 0$ and no-flux b.c.

Let $\lambda = \lambda(\eta, \xi)$ be the principal eigenvalue that determines the local stability of $(\tilde{u}, 0)$, which satisfies

$$\mu
abla \cdot (
abla arphi - \xi arphi
abla m) + (m - ilde{u}) arphi + \lambda arphi = 0$$
 and no-flux b.c.

- i.e. λ is the Invasion Exponent: $\lambda < 0 \Rightarrow v$ can invade while $\lambda > 0 \Rightarrow v$ fails to invade and goes extinct.
- Note: $\xi = \eta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi = \tilde{u}.$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \lambda = \lambda_{\xi}$ is the <u>Selection Gradient</u>.

Conditional Dispersal



- "Selection Gradient" reverses.
- Intermediate advection rate is favored.
- Is there an ESS in the interval $\left[\frac{1}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}, \frac{1}{\min_{\bar{\Omega}} m}\right]$?

Conditional Dispersal



- "Selection Gradient" reverses.
- Intermediate advection rate is favored.
- Is there an ESS strategy in the interval $\left[\frac{1}{\max_{\overline{0}} m}, \frac{1}{\min_{\overline{0}} m}\right]$?

Evolutionarily Stable (Unbeatable) Strategies

Definition

We call $\hat{\eta}$ an Evolutionarily Singular Strategy if $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\lambda(\hat{\eta},\hat{\eta})=0$.

Definition

 $\hat{\eta}$ is a *local ESS* if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda(\hat{\eta}, \xi) > 0$ for all $\xi \in (\hat{\eta} - \delta, \hat{\eta} + \delta) \setminus {\{\hat{\eta}\}}.$

• Since $\lambda(\eta, \eta) = 0$, $\lambda(\hat{\eta}, \cdot)$ attains a <u>local minimum</u> at $\xi = \hat{\eta}$.

Evolutionarily Stable (Unbeatable) Strategies

Definition

We call $\hat{\eta}$ an Evolutionarily Singular Strategy if $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\lambda(\hat{\eta},\hat{\eta})=0$.

Definition

 $\hat{\eta}$ is a *local ESS* if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda(\hat{\eta}, \xi) > 0$ for all $\xi \in (\hat{\eta} - \delta, \hat{\eta} + \delta) \setminus {\{\hat{\eta}\}}.$

• Since $\lambda(\eta, \eta) = 0$, $\lambda(\hat{\eta}, \cdot)$ attains a <u>local minimum</u> at $\xi = \hat{\eta}$.

Corollary

(i) $\hat{\eta}$ is an Evolutionarily Singular Strategy if it is an ESS.

Evolutionarily Stable (Unbeatable) Strategies

Definition

We call $\hat{\eta}$ an Evolutionarily Singular Strategy if $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\lambda(\hat{\eta},\hat{\eta})=0$.

Definition

 $\hat{\eta}$ is a *local ESS* if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda(\hat{\eta}, \xi) > 0$ for all $\xi \in (\hat{\eta} - \delta, \hat{\eta} + \delta) \setminus {\{\hat{\eta}\}}.$

• Since $\lambda(\eta, \eta) = 0$, $\lambda(\hat{\eta}, \cdot)$ attains a <u>local minimum</u> at $\xi = \hat{\eta}$.

Corollary

(i) $\hat{\eta}$ is an Evolutionarily Singular Strategy if it is an ESS. (ii) If $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \lambda(\hat{\eta}, \hat{\eta}) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \lambda(\hat{\eta}, \hat{\eta}) > 0$, then $\hat{\eta}$ is a local ESS.

Main Results

Recall: Evolutionarily Singular Strategies are candidates for ESS.

Theorem (Lam-Lou)

Suppose $\frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} m}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} m} \leq 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$. Given any $\Lambda > 0$, μ small, there is exactly one evolutionarily singular strategy, denoted as $\hat{\eta}$, in $[0, \Lambda]$. Moreover, $\hat{\eta} \to \eta_0$ as $\mu \to 0$, where η_0 is the unique positive root of

$$g_0(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m (1 - \eta m) |\nabla m|^2.$$

Main Results

Recall: Evolutionarily Singular Strategies are candidates for ESS.

Theorem (Lam-Lou)

Suppose $\frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} m}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} m} \leq 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$. Given any $\Lambda > 0$, μ small, there is exactly one evolutionarily singular strategy, denoted as $\hat{\eta}$, in $[0, \Lambda]$. Moreover, $\hat{\eta} \to \eta_0$ as $\mu \to 0$, where η_0 is the unique positive root of

$$g_0(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m (1 - \eta m) |\nabla m|^2.$$

Corollary

Let $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $m_x > 0$ in [0, 1]. Then for μ small, there exists a unique evolutionarily singular strategy in $[0, \infty)$.

Main Results

Recall: Evolutionarily Singular Strategies are candidates for ESS.

Theorem (Lam-Lou)

Suppose $\frac{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}{\min_{\bar{\Omega}} m} \leq 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$. Given any $\Lambda > 0$, μ small, there is exactly one evolutionarily singular strategy, denoted as $\hat{\eta}$, in $[0, \Lambda]$. Moreover, $\hat{\eta} \to \eta_0$ as $\mu \to 0$, where η_0 is the unique positive root of

$$g_0(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m (1 - \eta m) |\nabla m|^2.$$

Corollary

Let $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $m_x > 0$ in [0, 1]. Then for μ small, there exists a unique evolutionarily singular strategy in $[0, \infty)$.

• Is $\hat{\eta}$ an ESS?

Theorem (Lam-Lou)

Suppose that Ω is convex with diameter d, and

 $d\|\nabla \ln m\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha_0 \approx 0.814.$

Then for $\mu > 0$ sufficiently small, $\hat{\eta}$ is a local ESS.

Theorem (Lam-Lou)

Suppose that Ω is convex with diameter d, and

 $d\|\nabla \ln m\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha_0 \approx 0.814.$

Then for $\mu > 0$ sufficiently small, $\hat{\eta}$ is a local ESS.

- α_0 is the unique root of $t \mapsto 4t + e^{-t} + 2 \ln t 2 2 \ln \pi$.
- $\frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} m}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} m} \leq \exp d \|\nabla \ln m\|_{\infty} \leq e^{\alpha_0} \approx 2.257 < 3 + 2\sqrt{2}.$

Conditional Dispersal



ESS in Spatial Models

King-Yeung Lam (Adrian)

• The critical constant $3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ is strict.

• The critical constant $3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ is strict.

Theorem (Lam-Lou)

Let $\Omega = (0, 1)$. For any $L > 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$, there exists $m \in C^2$ with $m, m_x > 0$ and $\frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} m}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} m} = L$ such that for all μ small, then (i) there are at least 3 evolutionarily singular strategies, (ii) one of which is not a local ESS. • The critical constant $3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ is strict.

Theorem (Lam-Lou)

Let $\Omega = (0, 1)$. For any $L > 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$, there exists $m \in C^2$ with $m, m_x > 0$ and $\frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} m}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} m} = L$ such that for all μ small, then (i) there are at least 3 evolutionarily singular strategies, (ii) one of which is not a local ESS.

• Hunch: the other two are local ESS.

Conditional Dispersal



ESS in Spatial Models

King-Yeung Lam (Adrian)

Conditional Dispersal



ESS in Spatial Models

King-Yeung Lam (Adrian)

We shall sketch the proof of Theorems 1 and 2, and comment on the proof of Theorem 3.

Formula for $\lambda_{\xi} = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \xi}$

By arguments involving integration by parts, one can derive the following formula for the selection gradient.

$$\frac{\lambda_{\xi}}{\mu}(\eta,\eta)\int_{\Omega}e^{-\eta m}\tilde{u}^{2}=-\int_{\Omega}\tilde{u}
abla m\cdot
abla(e^{-\eta m}\tilde{u}).$$

It remains to study its roots as $\mu
ightarrow 0$.

$$\begin{cases} \mu \nabla \cdot (\nabla \tilde{u} - \eta \tilde{u} \nabla m) + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial n} - \eta \tilde{u} \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

- $\tilde{u} \to m \text{ in } L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text{ as } \mu \to 0.$
 - Proof: e.g. Method of upper/lower solution.

In addition to the fact that $ilde{u}
ightarrow m$ uniformly in $ar{\Omega}$, we have

Theorem

 $\tilde{u} \rightarrow m \text{ in } H^1(\Omega).$

Set $\eta = 0$ for simplicity.

In addition to the fact that $ilde{u}
ightarrow m$ uniformly in $ar{\Omega}$, we have

Theorem

 $\tilde{u} \rightarrow m \text{ in } H^1(\Omega).$

Set $\eta = 0$ for simplicity. $\mu \Delta \tilde{u} + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = 0$. $-\mu \Delta (m - \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = -\mu \Delta m$.

In addition to the fact that $ilde{u}
ightarrow m$ uniformly in $ar{\Omega}$, we have

Theorem

 $\tilde{u} \rightarrow m \text{ in } H^1(\Omega).$

Set $\eta = 0$ for simplicity. $\mu \Delta \tilde{u} + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = 0$. $-\mu \Delta (m - \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = -\mu \Delta m$.

Multiply the following by $(m-\widetilde{u})$ and integrate by parts,

In addition to the fact that $ilde{u}
ightarrow m$ uniformly in $ar{\Omega}$, we have

Theorem

 $\tilde{u} \rightarrow m$ in $H^1(\Omega)$.

Set $\eta = 0$ for simplicity. $\mu \Delta \tilde{u} + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = 0$. $-\mu \Delta (m - \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = -\mu \Delta m$.

Multiply the following by $(m-\widetilde{u})$ and integrate by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(m-\tilde{u})|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u}(m-\tilde{u})^2 \\ &= \mu \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} (m-\tilde{u}) - \mu \int_{\Omega} \Delta m (m-\tilde{u}) \end{aligned}$$

In addition to the fact that $ilde{u}
ightarrow m$ uniformly in $ar{\Omega}$, we have

Theorem

 $\tilde{u} \rightarrow m$ in $H^1(\Omega)$.

Set
$$\eta = 0$$
 for simplicity. $\mu \Delta \tilde{u} + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = 0$.
 $-\mu \Delta (m - \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = -\mu \Delta m$.

Multiply the following by $(m-\widetilde{u})$ and integrate by parts,

$$\begin{split} & \mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(m - \tilde{u})|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u})^2 \\ &= \mu \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} (m - \tilde{u}) - \mu \int_{\Omega} \Delta m (m - \tilde{u}) \\ &= C \mu \|\tilde{u} - m\|_{\infty} = o(\mu) \end{split}$$

In addition to the fact that $ilde{u}
ightarrow m$ uniformly in $ar{\Omega}$, we have

Theorem

 $\tilde{u} \rightarrow m$ in $H^1(\Omega)$.

Set
$$\eta = 0$$
 for simplicity. $\mu \Delta \tilde{u} + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = 0$.
 $-\mu \Delta (m - \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u}) = -\mu \Delta m$.

Multiply the following by $(m-\widetilde{u})$ and integrate by parts,

$$\begin{split} & \mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(m - \tilde{u})|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u}(m - \tilde{u})^2 \\ &= \mu \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial m}{\partial n} (m - \tilde{u}) - \mu \int_{\Omega} \Delta m (m - \tilde{u}) \\ &= C \mu \|\tilde{u} - m\|_{\infty} = o(\mu) \end{split}$$

Actually one can prove, locally uniformly in η , that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \tilde{u} - \nabla m| \phi^2 \leq C \|\tilde{u} - m\|_{\infty} \|\phi\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\lambda_{\xi}}{\mu} = -\frac{\int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} \tilde{u})}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} \tilde{u}^2}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\lambda_{\xi}}{\mu} = -\frac{\int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} \tilde{u})}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} \tilde{u}^2} \to -\frac{\int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m)}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m^2}$$

uniformly.

Hence

$$\frac{\lambda_{\xi}}{\mu} = -\frac{\int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} \tilde{u})}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} \tilde{u}^2} \to -\frac{\int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m)}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m^2}$$

uniformly. In fact, one can also show that the above convergence is in $C^1_{loc}([0,\infty))$ by estimating $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \eta}$.

Hence

$$\frac{\lambda_{\xi}}{\mu} = -\frac{\int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} \tilde{u})}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} \tilde{u}^2} \to -\frac{\int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m)}{\int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m^2}$$

uniformly. In fact, one can also show that the above convergence is in $C^1_{loc}([0,\infty))$ by estimating $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial n}$.

Hence it remains to study the roots of limiting function

$$g_0(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m).$$

Theorem

If
$$\frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} m}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} m} \leq 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$$
, then
 $g_0(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m (1 - \eta m) |\nabla m|^2$
has a unique positive root η_0 in $[0, \infty)$. Also, $g'_0(\eta_0) < 0$.

Theorem

If
$$\frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} m}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} m} \leq 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$$
, then
 $g_0(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m (1 - \eta m) |\nabla m|^2$
has a unique positive root η_0 in $[0, \infty)$. Also, $g'_0(\eta_0) < 0$.

•
$$g_0(\eta) > 0$$
 if $\eta \leq \frac{1}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}$ and $g_0(\eta) < 0$ if $\eta \geq \frac{1}{\min_{\bar{\Omega}} m}$.

Theorem

If
$$\frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} m}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} m} \leq 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$$
, then
 $g_0(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m (1 - \eta m) |\nabla m|^2$
has a unique positive root n_0 in $[0, \infty)$. Also, $g'_0(n_0) < 0$.

•
$$g_0(\eta) > 0$$
 if $\eta \leq \frac{1}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}$ and $g_0(\eta) < 0$ if $\eta \geq \frac{1}{\min_{\bar{\Omega}} m}$

•
$$g_0'(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m^2 (\eta m - 2) |\nabla m|^2$$

Theorem

If
$$\frac{\max_{\overline{\Omega}} m}{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} m} \leq 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$$
, then
 $g_0(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} m \nabla m \cdot \nabla (e^{-\eta m} m) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m (1 - \eta m) |\nabla m|^2$
has a unique positive root η_0 in $[0, \infty)$. Also, $g'_0(\eta_0) < 0$.

•
$$g_0(\eta) > 0$$
 if $\eta \leq \frac{1}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}$ and $g_0(\eta) < 0$ if $\eta \geq \frac{1}{\min_{\bar{\Omega}} m}$

•
$$g_0'(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\eta m} m^2 (\eta m - 2) |\nabla m|^2$$

•
$$g_0'(\eta) < 0$$
 in $\eta \le \frac{2}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}$ (Done if $L := \frac{\max m}{\min m} \le 2$).

More generally, $\eta^{p}g_{0}(\eta)$ is strictly decreasing if

$$\eta \in \left[\frac{p+2-\sqrt{p^2+4}}{2\min_{\bar{\Omega}}m}, \frac{p+2+\sqrt{p^2+4}}{2\max_{\bar{\Omega}}m}\right]$$

More generally, $\eta^{\rho}g_0(\eta)$ is strictly decreasing if

$$\eta \in \left[\frac{p+2-\sqrt{p^2+4}}{2\min_{\bar{\Omega}} m}, \frac{p+2+\sqrt{p^2+4}}{2\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}\right].$$

Let $x_0 = 2$, $x_i = 1 + (1 - \frac{x_{i-1}}{L})^{-1}$ and $p_i = \frac{x_{i-1}(2L - x_{i-1})}{L(L - x_{i-1})}$, then $x_{i-1} < x_i$ and $\eta^p g_0(\eta)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[\frac{x_{i-1}}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}, \frac{x_i}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}\right]$.

More generally, $\eta^{p}g_{0}(\eta)$ is strictly decreasing if

$$\eta \in \left[\frac{p+2-\sqrt{p^2+4}}{2\min_{\bar{\Omega}} m}, \frac{p+2+\sqrt{p^2+4}}{2\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}\right].$$

Let $x_0 = 2$, $x_i = 1 + (1 - \frac{x_{i-1}}{L})^{-1}$ and $p_i = \frac{x_{i-1}(2L - x_{i-1})}{L(L - x_{i-1})}$, then $x_{i-1} < x_i$ and $\eta^p g_0(\eta)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[\frac{x_{i-1}}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}, \frac{x_i}{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} m}\right]$.

- If $L < 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$, then $x_{i_0} \ge L$ for some i_0 .
- If $L = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$, then use infinitely many *i*'s.

Counter Example for $\frac{\max m}{\min m} = \frac{b}{a} > 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$

• It remains to produce $\tilde{\eta}$ such that $g_0(\tilde{\eta}) = 0$ and $g'_0(\tilde{\eta}) > 0$.

Assume $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $m_x > 0$, then

• It remains to produce $\tilde{\eta}$ such that $g_0(\tilde{\eta}) = 0$ and $g_0'(\tilde{\eta}) > 0$. Assume $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $m_x > 0$, then

$$\eta^{2}g_{0}(\eta) = \eta^{2}\int_{0}^{1}e^{-\eta m}m(1-\eta m)(m')^{2} dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1}m'(\eta m)(1-\eta m)e^{-\eta m}(\eta m)' dx = \int_{\eta m(0)}^{\eta m(1)}m'(x)h(s) ds$$

where $h(s) = s(1-s)e^{-s}$. Then take

• It remains to produce $\tilde{\eta}$ such that $g_0(\tilde{\eta}) = 0$ and $g_0'(\tilde{\eta}) > 0$. Assume $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $m_x > 0$, then

$$\eta^{2}g_{0}(\eta) = \eta^{2}\int_{0}^{1}e^{-\eta m}m(1-\eta m)(m')^{2} dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1}m'(\eta m)(1-\eta m)e^{-\eta m}(\eta m)' dx = \int_{\eta m(0)}^{\eta m(1)}m'(x)h(s) ds$$

where $h(s) = s(1-s)e^{-s}$. Then take

$$m'(x) = \begin{cases} L_1 & x \in [0, \epsilon/L_1], \\ L_2 & x \in [1 - \epsilon/L_2, 1], \\ L_3 := \frac{(b-\epsilon)-(a+\epsilon)}{1-\frac{\epsilon}{L_1}-\frac{\epsilon}{L_2}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Corollary

If $\lambda_{\xi}(\hat{\eta}) = 0$ and $\lambda_{\xi\xi}(\hat{\eta}) > 0$, then $\hat{\eta}$ is a local ESS.

Corollary

If $\lambda_{\xi}(\hat{\eta}) = 0$ and $\lambda_{\xi\xi}(\hat{\eta}) > 0$, then $\hat{\eta}$ is a local ESS.

• Step 1: Estimate H^1 norm of φ_k and $\varphi_{\xi} \Rightarrow$ limiting problem.

Corollary

If $\lambda_{\xi}(\hat{\eta}) = 0$ and $\lambda_{\xi\xi}(\hat{\eta}) > 0$, then $\hat{\eta}$ is a local ESS.

- Step 1: Estimate H^1 norm of φ_k and $\varphi_{\xi} \Rightarrow$ limiting problem.
- Step 2: Transform the limiting problem

Corollary

If $\lambda_{\xi}(\hat{\eta}) = 0$ and $\lambda_{\xi\xi}(\hat{\eta}) > 0$, then $\hat{\eta}$ is a local ESS.

- Step 1: Estimate H^1 norm of φ_k and $\varphi_\xi \Rightarrow$ limiting problem.
- Step 2: Transform the limiting problem
- Step 3: Apply the Poincaré's inequality to reduce to a condition on the first positive eigenvalue of a certain problem

Corollary

If $\lambda_{\xi}(\hat{\eta}) = 0$ and $\lambda_{\xi\xi}(\hat{\eta}) > 0$, then $\hat{\eta}$ is a local ESS.

- Step 1: Estimate H^1 norm of φ_k and $\varphi_{\xi} \Rightarrow$ limiting problem.
- Step 2: Transform the limiting problem
- Step 3: Apply the Poincaré's inequality to reduce to a condition on the first positive eigenvalue of a certain problem
- Step 4: Optimal Estimate by Payne and Weinberger for convex domains

Theorem (Payne-Weinberger(60'))

Suppose Ω is convex with diameter d, then

$$\mu_2^N \ge \frac{\pi^2}{d^2}.$$

Summary and Future Work

Related Work:

"Ideal Free Distribution" implies ESS. [Cantrell, Cosner, Lou (2010)][Averill et. al.(2012)]

Summary:

For the first time, existence and uniqueness/multiplicity result for ESS which is not ideal free is obtained in the context of spatial models, which is the natural setting for the discussion of evolution of dispersal.

Future Work:

Can one derive a model for the polymorphic situation? $u = u(x, t; \eta)$ and under some scaling,

$$u \rightarrow \sum \rho_i(t) \delta_i(x - \bar{x}(t)).$$

Thank you!